arXiv:1109.3826v12 [math.NT] 10 Apr 2012

A conjecture on integer arithmetic which implies that there is an algorithm which to each Diophantine equation assigns an integer which is greater than the heights of integer (non-negative integer, rational) solutions, if these solutions form a finite set

Apoloniusz Tyszka

Abstract. We conjecture that if a system $S \subseteq \{x_i = 1,\ x_i + x_j = x_k,\ x_i \cdot x_j = x_k : i, j, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\}$ has only finitely many solutions in integers $x_1, \ldots, x_n$, then each such solution $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ satisfies $|x_1|, \ldots, |x_n| \leq 2^{2^{n-1}}$. The conjecture implies that there is an algorithm which to each Diophantine equation assigns an integer which is greater than the heights of integer (non-negative integer, rational) solutions, if these solutions form a finite set. We present a *MuPAD* code whose execution never terminates. If the conjecture is true, then the code sequentially displays all integers $n \geq 2$. If the conjecture is false, then the code sequentially displays the integers $2, \ldots, n-1$, where $n \geq 4$, the value of n is unknown beforehand, and the conjecture is false for all integers m with $m \geq n$.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11D72, 11U05.

Key words and phrases: algorithm, Diophantine equation, Diophantine equation with a finite number of integer (non-negative integer, rational) solutions, integer arithmetic, system of Diophantine equations.

Let $E_n = \{x_i = 1,\ x_i + x_j = x_k,\ x_i \cdot x_j = x_k : i, j, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\}$. The following Conjecture contradicts to an old conjecture of Yu. Matiyasevich, see [4].

Conjecture ([4]). *If a system* $S \subseteq E_n$ *has only finitely many solutions in integers* $x_1, \ldots, x_n$*, then each such solution* $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ *satisfies* $|x_1|, \ldots, |x_n| \leq 2^{2^{n-1}}$.

For $n \geq 2$, the bound $2^{2^{n-1}}$ cannot be decreased because the system

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + x_1 & = & x_2 \\ x_1 \cdot x_1 & = & x_2 \\ x_2 \cdot x_2 & = & x_3 \\ x_3 \cdot x_3 & = & x_4 \\ & \ldots & \\ x_{n-1} \cdot x_{n-1} & = & x_n \end{cases}$$

has exactly two integer solutions, namely $(0, \ldots, 0)$ and $\left(2, 4, 16, 256, \ldots, 2^{2^{n-2}}, 2^{2^{n-1}}\right)$.

Let T_n denote the set of all integer tuples $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ for which there exists a system $S \subseteq E_n$ such that $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ solves S and S has at most finitely many solutions in integers $x_1, \dots, x_n$. If $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in T_n$, then $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ solves the system

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcll} x_i &=& 1 & (\text{all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ with } a_i = 1) \\ x_i + x_j &=& x_k & (\text{all } i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ with } a_i + a_j = a_k) \\ x_i \cdot x_j &=& x_k & (\text{all } i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ with } a_i \cdot a_j = a_k) \end{array}\right.$$

which has only finitely many solutions in integers $x_1, \dots, x_n$.

Theorem 1. *The Conjecture is true for $n \leq 3$.*

Proof. $T_1 = \{0, 1\}$. T_2 consists of the pairs $(0,0)$, $(1,1)$, $(-1,1)$, $(0,1)$, $(1,2)$, $(2,4)$ and their permutations. T_3 consists of the triples

$$(0,0,0), (1,1,1),$$
$$(-1,-1,1), (0,0,1), (1,1,-1), (1,1,0), (1,1,2), (2,2,1), (2,2,4), (4,4,2),$$
$$(1,-2,-1), (1,-1,0), (1,-1,2), (1,0,2), (1,2,3), (1,2,4),$$
$$(2,4,-2), (2,4,0), (2,4,6), (2,4,8), (2,4,16),$$
$$(-4,-2,2), (-2,-1,2), (3,6,9), (4,8,16)$$

and their permutations. □

Every Diophantine equation of degree at most n has the form

$$\sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{0, \dots, n\} \\ i_1 + \dots + i_k \leq n}} a(i_1, \dots, i_k) \cdot x_1^{i_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_k^{i_k} = 0$$

where $a(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ denote integers. The Conjecture implies that if the above equation has only finitely many solutions in integers (non-negative integers, rationals), then their heights are bounded from above by a computable function of

$$\max\Big(\{k, n\} \cup \Big\{|a(i_1, \dots, i_k)| : \ (i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{0, \dots, n\}) \wedge (i_1 + \dots + i_k \leq n)\Big\}\Big)$$

see [4] and [1].

Logicians believe in Matiyasevich's conjecture, but some heuristic argument suggests the opposite possibility. Below is the excerpt from page 135 of the book [2]:

Folklore. If a Diophantine equation has only finitely many solutions then those solutions are small in 'height' when compared to the parameters of the equation. This folklore is, however, only widely believed because of the large amount of experimental evidence which now exists to support it.

Below is the excerpt from page 12 of the article [3]:

Note that if a Diophantine equation is solvable, then we can prove it, since we will eventually find a solution by searching through the countably many possibilities (but we do not know beforehand how far we have to search). So the really hard problem is to prove that there are no solutions when this is the case. A similar problem arises when there are finitely many solutions and we want to find them all. In this situation one expects the solutions to be fairly small. So usually it is not so hard to find all solutions; what is difficult is to show that there are no others.

That is, mathematicians are intuitively persuaded that solutions are small when there are finitely many of them. It seems that there is a reason which is common to all the equations. Such a reason might be the Conjecture whose consequences we have already presented.

The validity of the Conjecture can be decided by a brute-force algorithm whose execution never terminates. This is what we are going to explain now.

To each system $S \subseteq E_n$ we assign the system $\widetilde{S}$ defined by

$$\begin{gathered}(S \setminus \{x_i = 1 : \ i \in \{1,\ldots,n\}\}) \cup \\ \{x_i \cdot x_j = x_j : \ i,j \in \{1,\ldots,n\} \text{ and the equation } x_i = 1 \text{ belongs to } S\}\end{gathered}$$

In other words, in order to obtain $\widetilde{S}$ we remove from S each equation $x_i = 1$ and replace it by the following n equations:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_i \cdot x_1 & = & x_1 \\ & \ldots & \\ x_i \cdot x_n & = & x_n \end{array}$$

Lemma 1. *For each system $S \subseteq E_n$*

$$\begin{gathered}\{(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n : \ (x_1,\ldots,x_n) \text{ solves } \widetilde{S}\} \quad = \\ \{(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n : \ (x_1,\ldots,x_n) \text{ solves } S\} \cup \{(\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{n \text{ times}})\}\end{gathered}$$

Corollary. *The Conjecture is equivalent to* $\forall n \Lambda_n$*, where* Λ_n *denote the statement*

$$\forall x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{Z}\ \exists y_1, \ldots, y_n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$(2^{2^{n-1}} < |x_1| \Longrightarrow (|x_1| < |y_1| \vee \ldots \vee |x_1| < |y_n|)) \wedge$$

$$(\forall i, j, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\ (x_i + x_j = x_k \Longrightarrow y_i + y_j = y_k)) \wedge$$

$$\forall i, j, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\ (x_i \cdot x_j = x_k \Longrightarrow y_i \cdot y_j = y_k)$$

Lemma 2. *For all positive integers* n*,* m *with* $n \leq m$*, if the statement* Λ_n *fails for* $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ *and* $2^{2^{m-1}} < |x_1| \leq 2^{2^m}$*, then the statement* Λ_m *fails for* $(\underbrace{x_1, \ldots, x_1}_{m-n+1 \text{ times}}, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$.

By the Corollary and Lemma 2, the Conjecture is equivalent to $\forall n \Psi_n$, where Ψ_n denote the statement

$$\forall x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{Z}\ \exists y_1, \ldots, y_n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\Big(2^{2^{n-1}} < |x_1| = \max(|x_1|, \ldots, |x_n|) \leq 2^{2^n} \Longrightarrow (|x_1| < |y_1| \vee \ldots \vee |x_1| < |y_n|)\Big) \wedge$$

$$\Big(\forall i, j, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\ (x_i + x_j = x_k \Longrightarrow y_i + y_j = y_k)\Big) \wedge$$

$$\forall i, j, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\ (x_i \cdot x_j = x_k \Longrightarrow y_i \cdot y_j = y_k)$$

In contradistinction to the statements Λ_n, each true statement Ψ_n can be confirmed by a brute-force search in a finite amount of time. We describe an algorithm for this purpose. Let $\leq_n$ denote the order on $\mathbb{Z}^n$ which ranks the tuples $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ first according to $\max(|x_1|, \ldots, |x_n|)$ and then colexicographically. The ordered set $(\mathbb{Z}^n, \leq_n)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$. To confirm any true statement Ψ_n, it suffices to check all integer tuples $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ with pairwise distinct $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ which satisfy $2^{2^{n-1}} < |x_1| \leq 2^{2^n}$ and $|x_1| \geq \ldots \geq |x_n|$. To find appropriate integers $y_1, \ldots, y_n$, we start the search from $(y_1, \ldots, y_n) = (-|x_1| - 1, \ldots, -|x_1| - 1)$ and continue the search in the order $\leq_n$. If an appropriate tuple $(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ does not exist, then the algorithm does not terminate.

Execution of the following *MuPAD* code never terminates.

```
X:=[-5,-5]:
while 0=0 do
n:=nops(X):
t:=0:
for t1 from 1 to n do
for t2 from t1 to n do
for t3 from 1 to n do
if X[t1]+X[t2]=X[t3] then t:=t+1 end_if:
if X[t1]*X[t2]=X[t3] then t:=t+1 end_if:
end_for:
end_for:
end_for:
if t>0 then print(X) end_if:
B:=[]:
for t from 1 to n do
B:=append(B,-max(abs(X[t]) $t=1..n)-1):
end_for:
repeat
m:=0:
S:=[1,1,1]:
repeat
if (X[S[1]]+X[S[2]]=X[S[3]] and B[S[1]]+B[S[2]]<>B[S[3]])
then m:=1 end_if:
if (X[S[1]]*X[S[2]]=X[S[3]] and B[S[1]]*B[S[2]]<>B[S[3]])
then m:=1 end_if:
i:=1:
while (i<=3 and S[i]=n) do
i:=i+1:
end_while:
if i=1 then S[1]:=S[1]+1 end_if:
if i=2 then
S[1]:=S[2]+1:
S[2]:=S[2]+1:
end_if:
if (i=3 or i=4) then
S[1]:=1:
```

```
S[2]:=1:
S[3]:=S[3]+1:
end_if:
until (S[3]=n+1 or m=1) end_repeat:
Y:=B:
b:=max(abs(B[t]) $t=1..n):
w:=max(abs(B[t]) $t=2..n):
q:=1:
while (q<=n and B[q]=b) do
q:=q+1:
end_while:
if (q=1 and w<b) then B[1]:=b end_if:
if (q=1 and w=b) then B[1]:=B[1]+1 end_if:
if (q>1 and q<=n) then
for u from 1 to q-1 do
B[u]:=-b:
end_for:
B[q]:=B[q]+1:
end_if:
if q=n+1 then
B:=[]:
for t from 1 to n do
B:=append(B,-b-1):
end_for:
end_if:
until m=0 end_repeat:
t:=0:
for t1 from 1 to n do
for t2 from t1 to n do
for t3 from 1 to n do
if X[t1]+X[t2]=X[t3] then t:=t+1 end_if:
if X[t1]*X[t2]=X[t3] then t:=t+1 end_if:
end_for:
end_for:
end_for:
if t>0 then print([X,Y]) end_if:
b:=max(abs(X[t]) $t=1..n):
w:=max(abs(X[t]) $t=2..n):
```

```
q:=1:
while (q<=n and X[q]=b) do
q:=q+1:
end_while:
if (q=1 and w<b) then X[1]:=b end_if:
if (q=1 and w=b) then X[1]:=X[1]+1 end_if:
if (q>1 and q<=n) then
for u from 1 to q-1 do
X[u]:=-b:
end_for:
X[q]:=X[q]+1:
end_if:
if q=n+1 then
X:=[]:
for t from 1 to n do
X:=append(X,-b-1):
end_for:
end_if:
if max(abs(X[t]) $t=1..n)>2^(2^n) then
print(n):
X:=[]:
for t from 1 to n+1 do
X:=append(X,-1-2^(2^n)):
end_for:
end_if:
end_while:
```

Lemma 3. *If an integer tuple* $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ *satisfies*

$$2^{2^{n-1}} < |x_1| = \max(|x_1|, \ldots, |x_n|) \leq 2^{2^n}$$

and the statement Ψ_n *is false for* $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$*, then the statement* Ψ_{n+1} *is false for the integer* $(n+1)$*-tuple* $(x_1^2, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ *which satisfies*

$$2^{2^n} < |x_1^2| = \max(|x_1^2|, |x_1|, \ldots, |x_n|) \leq 2^{2^{n+1}}$$

Applying Lemma 3, we conclude that the code sequentially displays all integers $n \geq 2$ for which the statement Ψ_n is true. The computed pairs $((x_1, \ldots, x_n), (y_1, \ldots, y_n))$ are displayed only in the non-trivial case when

$$\exists i, j, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \; (x_i + x_j = x_k \vee x_i \cdot x_j = x_k)$$

If the sentence $\forall n \Psi_n$ is false, then the code displays some integer tuple $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ for which

$$2^{2^{n-1}} < \max(|x_1|, \ldots, |x_n|) \leq 2^{2^n}$$

and an appropriate tuple $(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ does not exist. In this case, the output is finite and the tuple $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ appears at its end. This proves the following Theorem 2.

Theorem 2. *Execution of the code never terminates. If the Conjecture is true, then the code sequentially displays all integers $n \geq 2$. If the Conjecture is false, then the code sequentially displays the integers $2, \ldots, n-1$, where $n \geq 4$, the value of n is unknown beforehand, and the Conjecture is false for all integers m with $m \geq n$.*

The commercial version of *MuPAD* is no longer available as a stand-alone product. The presented code can be executed by *MuPAD Light*, which was and is free. *MathWorks*, the makers of *MATLAB*, has incorporated *MuPAD* technology into *Symbolic Math Toolbox*, which is partially compatible with *MuPAD Light*.

References

[1] M. Cipu, *Small solutions to systems of polynomial equations with integer coefficients,* An. St. Univ. Ovidius Constanta 19 (2011), no. 2, 89–100, `http://www.emis.de/journals/ASUO/mathematics/pdf23/Cipu.pdf`, `http://www.anstuocmath.ro/mathematics/pdf23/Cipu.pdf`.

[2] N. P. Smart, *The algorithmic resolution of Diophantine equations,* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

[3] M. Stoll, *How to Solve a Diophantine Equation,* in: An invitation to mathematics: From competitions to research (ed. M. Lackmann and D. Schleicher), Springer, Berlin, 2011, 9–19.

[4] A. Tyszka, *A hypothetical upper bound for the solutions of a Diophantine equation with a finite number of solutions,* `http://arxiv.org/abs/0901.2093`.

Apoloniusz Tyszka
Technical Faculty
Hugo Kołłątaj University
Balicka 116B, 30-149 Kraków, Poland
E-mail address: `rttyszka@cyf-kr.edu.pl`